arXiv:1603.02128v1 [math.CV] 7 Mar 2016

OPTIMAL COMPARISON OF P-NORMS OF DIRICHLET POLYNOMIALS

A. DEFANT AND A. PÉREZ

ABSTRACT. Let $1 \leq p < q < \infty$. We show that

$$\sup \frac{\|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q}}{\|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}} = \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log\log x}\left(\log\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} + O\left(\frac{\log\log\log x}{\log\log x}\right)\right)\right),$$

where the supremum is taken over all non-zero Dirichlet polynomials of the form $D(s) = \sum_{n\leq x} a_n n^{-s}$. An aplication is given to the study of multipliers between Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Given a Dirichlet polynomial $D(s) = \sum_n a_n n^{-s}$, its p-norm is defined as

$$\|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} := \lim_{T\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2T}\int_{-T}^{T} |D(it)|^p\, dt\right)^{1/p}. \tag{1}$$

The fact that the previous limit exists, can be argued by means of Bohr's one-to-one correspondence between Dirichlet series and (formal) power series in infinitely many variables [3]. Using that every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has a unique prime number decomposition $n = \mathfrak{p}^\alpha := \mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{\alpha_2}\ldots$, where $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{p}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the ordered sequence of primes and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$, the set of eventually null sequences in $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. Following Bohr [3] we can identify every Dirichlet series $D = \sum_n a_n n^{-s}$ with the (formal) power series

$$\mathcal{L}D \equiv \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} a_{\mathfrak{p}^\alpha} z^\alpha,$$

the so-called Bohr lift. In case $D(s)$ is a Dirichlet polynomial, $\mathcal{L}D$ is then a trigonometric polynomial. And if $d\omega$ denotes the Haar measure on the infinite-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$, Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem implies that the limit in (1) exists, being $\|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} = \|\mathcal{L}D\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})}$ (see [1] for the details). This shows in particular that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}$ is a norm on the space of Dirichlet polynomials; and moreover, its completion $\mathcal{H}_p$ can be seen as a Banach space of Dirichlet series isometric to the Hardy space $H_p(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})$ (defined as in [5]) through Bohr's identification. The

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30B50, 42AXX, 42BXX.

Key words and phrases. Dirichlet polynomial, prime number, trigonometric polynomial.

The research of the second author was partially done during a stay in Oldenburg (Germany) under the support of a PhD fellowship of "La Caixa Foundation", and of the projects of MINECO/FEDER (MTM2014-57838-C2-1-P) and Fundación Séneca - Región de Murcia (19368/PI/14).

systematic study of the Banach spaces $\mathcal{H}_p$ started in [1] and [9]. Recall that in the setting of almost periodic functions, this type of limit was firstly considered by Besicovitch [2].

Given $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ we define

$$\mathfrak{V}(q,p,x) := \sup \frac{\|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q}}{\|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}} \quad \text{taken over all } 0 \neq D(s) = \textstyle\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{a_n}{n^s}.$$

Along the paper we will always assume that $1 \leq p < q < \infty$, since this is the interesting case.

Let us introduce some notation: given $x > 0$ big enough, we define recursively $\log_1 x := \log x$ and $\log_k x := \log_{k-1} \log x$ for $k > 1$. The main result of the paper reads as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *For every* $1 \leq p < q < \infty$

$$\mathfrak{V}(q,p,x) = \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}\left(\log\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} + O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right)\right).$$

There already exist inequalities comparing the p-norms of certain type of trigonometric polynomials on $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$

$$P(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} c_\alpha z^\alpha.$$

Recall that $P(z)$ is said to be m-homogeneous (for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$) if $c_\alpha = 0$ whenever $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots \neq m$. Let us denote

$$H_m^{q,p} := \sup \frac{\|P\|_{L_q(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})}}{\|P\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})}},$$

where the supremum is taken over all m-homogeneous polynomials $P(z) \neq 0$. Basing on Weissler' result [14] about hypercontractive estimates for the Poisson semigroup, Bayart [1, Theorem 9] proved that

$$H_m^{q,p} \leq \left(\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}\right)^m. \tag{2}$$

Recently, it has been shown that the best constant $C > 0$ such that $H_m^{q,p} \leq C^m$, is precisely $C = \sqrt{q/p}$ (see [7]). We can deduce from the previous estimation that every polynomial $P(z)$ as above satisfies

$$\|P\|_{L_q(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})} \leq H_{\deg(P)}^{q,p} \|P\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})}, \text{ where } \deg(P) := \max\{|\alpha| \colon c_\alpha \neq 0\}. \tag{3}$$

Indeed, the rotation invariance of the Haar measure yields that

$$\|P\|_{L_r(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})} = \|\tilde{P}\|_{L_r(\mathbb{T}\times\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})} \text{ for each } 1 \leq r < \infty \tag{4}$$

where $\tilde{P}$ is the trigonometric polynomial on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}} \equiv \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ given by

$$\tilde{P}(z,\omega) = z^{\deg(P)} P(\omega_1 z^{-1}, \omega_2 z^{-1}, \ldots), \quad (z,\omega) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

But $\tilde{P}$ is an m-homogeneous polynomial with $m = \deg(P)$, so can apply (2) to $\tilde{P}$ and use (4) to conclude that (3) holds. Using Bohr's lift, we can reformulate this last inequality in terms of Dirichlet polynomials $D(s) = \sum_n a_n n^{-s}$ as

$$\|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \leq H_m^{q,p} \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} \text{ , where } m = \max\{\Omega(n)\colon a_n \neq 0\}. \tag{5}$$

Recall that $\Omega(n) = \Omega(\mathfrak{p}^\alpha) = |\alpha|$ is the function which counts the number of prime divisors of n (with multiplicity). It satisfies $\Omega(n) \leq \log n / \log 2$, which let us deduce that

$$\mho(q,p,x) \leq \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log 2} \log \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}\right).$$

Nevertheless, this upper bound is far from being optimal: A well-known inequality due to Helson [10] together with an old estimation of $\max\{d(n)\colon n \leq x\}$ in terms of x due to Wigert [15], gives that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{a_n}{n^s}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} &\leq \left(\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{|a_n|^2}{d(n)}\right)^{1/2} \sqrt{\max\{d(n)\colon n \leq x\}} \\ &\leq \left\|\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{a_n}{n^s}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_1} \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}\left(\log\sqrt{2} + O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

This is the upper estimate for the special case $\mho(2,1,x)$ given in Theorem 1.1, and hence in this case it remains to prove the lower estimate. But in the general case the estimate for $\mho(q,p,x)$ needs a more delicate argument which is carried out in Section 2. It relies on a decomposition method inspired by [11], in combination with (2) and a deep number theoretical result of Bruijn. Section 3 deals with the construction of a suitable family of Dirichlet polynomials to obtain the lower estimate for $\mho(q,p,x)$. We follow an argument based on the Central Limit Theorem, which was used in [12] to give optimal bounds for the constants in the Khintchine-Steinhaus inequality. To adapt this idea to our problem, we have to develop a quantitative result concerning the convergence of the p-moments for the special sequence of random variables we handle (Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2).

2. Estimation from above

Here we prove the upper estimate from Theorem 1.1:

$$\mho(q,p,x) \leq \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}\left(\log\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} + O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right)\right) \tag{6}$$

Proof. Fix $2 \leq y \leq x$ and denote

$$\begin{aligned}S(x,y) &= \{n \leq x\colon \mathfrak{p}_t | n \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_t \leq y\}.\\ L(x,y) &= \{n \leq x\colon \mathfrak{p}_t | n \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_t > y\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $D(s) = \sum_{n\leq x} a_n n^{-s}$ be a Dirichlet polynomial. Since each $1 \leq n \leq x$ can be uniquely decomposed as a product $n = jk$ for some $j \in S(x,y)$ and $k \in L(x,y)$,

we can write

$$D(s) = \sum_{j \in S(x,y)} D_j(s) j^{-s} \text{ where } D_j(s) = \sum_{k \in L(x,y)} a_{jk} k^{-s}. \tag{7}$$

We claim that $\|D_j\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} \leq \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}$ for every $p \geq 1$. To prove it, we will use Bohr's lift and translate the previous elements into trigonometric polynomials. Let $P = \mathcal{L}D$ be the trigonometric polynomial

$$P(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N} a_{\mathfrak{p}^\alpha} \omega^\alpha.$$

If $\lambda := \pi(y)$, each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$ has the form $\alpha = (\gamma, \beta)$ where $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^\lambda$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Hence, for $\omega = (u, v) \in \mathbb{T}^\lambda \times \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$

$$P(u,v) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^\lambda} P_\gamma(v) u^\gamma \quad \text{where} \quad P_\gamma(v) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} c_{(\gamma,\beta)} v^\beta.$$

For each $j \in S(x,y)$ we have that $\mathcal{L}D_j = P_\gamma$ whether $j = \mathfrak{p}^{(\gamma,0)}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_j\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}^p = \|P_\gamma\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^{N-\lambda})}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-\lambda}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^\lambda} P(u,v) u^{-\gamma} du \right|^p dv \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N-\lambda}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\lambda} |P(u,v)|^p du dv = \|P\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^N)}^p = \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. Notice that every $k \in L(x,y)$ satisfies $x \geq k \geq y^{\Omega(k)}$. Combining this inequality with (5) and (2), for each $j \in S(x,y)$ we have that

$$\|D_j\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \leq \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y} \log \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} \right) \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}.$$

Applying this to (7), we get

$$\|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \leq \sum_{k \in S(x,y)} \|D_j\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \leq |S(x,y)| \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y} \log \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} \right) \|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}. \tag{8}$$

A deep result due to Bruijn [13, p. 359, Theorem 2] states that

$$\log |S(x,y)| = Z \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y} + \frac{1}{\log_2 x} \right) \right) \tag{9}$$

uniformly for $2 \leq y \leq x$, where

$$Z = Z(x,y) := \frac{\log x}{\log y} \log\left(1 + \frac{y}{\log x} \right) + \frac{y}{\log y} \log\left(1 + \frac{\log x}{y} \right).$$

We choose a proper value of y to minimize the constant in (8),

$$y := \exp\left(\frac{(\log_2 x)^2}{\log_2 x + \log_3 x} \right) = \frac{\log x}{\log_2 x} \exp\left(\frac{(\log_3 x)^2}{\log_2 x + \log_3 x} \right),$$

Notice that

$$\frac{y}{\log y} = \frac{\log x}{\log_2 x} O\left(\frac{1}{\log_2 x} \right) \text{ and } \log\left(1 + \frac{\log x}{y} \right) = O(\log_3 x).$$

Using that $\log(1+t) \leq t$ for each $t > 0$, we can bound

$$Z \leq \frac{y}{\log y}\left(1+\log\left(1+\frac{\log x}{y}\right)\right) \text{ and so } Z = \frac{\log x}{\log_2 x} O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right).$$

Using this estimation in (9), we get that

$$\log|S(x,y)| = \frac{\log x}{\log_2 x} O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right). \tag{10}$$

On the other hand, for the taken value of y

$$\exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\log\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}\left(\log\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}+\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right). \tag{11}$$

Replacing estimations (10) and (11) in (8), we conclude the result. □

3. Estimation from below

Along this section we will denote for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$Q_n(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j.$$

A special case of the Khinchine-Steinhaus inequality given in [12, Theorem 2] states that for every $r \geq 1$ and every n

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |Q_n(z)|^{2r}\, dz \leq \Gamma(r+1).$$

Let us point out that here the constant on the right side of this inequality is independent of n, and even optimal since by the central limit theorem

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |Q_n(z)|^{2r}\, dz = \Gamma(r+1).$$

Hence by Stirling's formula for every $r \geq 1$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |Q_n(z)|^{2r}\, dz \leq \sqrt{2\pi r}\left(\frac{r}{e}\right)^r e^{\frac{1}{12r}}. \tag{12}$$

We will need a similar lower estimate.

Lemma 3.1. *For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with every $n > m+1$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |Q_n(z)|^{2m}\, dz \geq \sqrt{2\pi m}\left(\frac{m}{e}\right)^m e^{\frac{-4m^2}{n}}.$$

Proof. Using the multinomial formula we have that

$$Q_n(z)^m = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!}\frac{z^\alpha}{\sqrt{n}^m},$$

(as usual we here write $\alpha! = \prod_j \alpha_j!$), hence by integration (and the orthogonality of the monomials on $\mathbb{T}^n$)

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |Q_n(z)|^{2m}\, dz = \frac{1}{n^m}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha|=m}\left(\frac{m!}{\alpha!}\right)^2.$$

Now we make use of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and again the multinomial formula to deduce that

$$\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,|\alpha|=m}\Big(\frac{m!}{\alpha!}\Big)^2\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,|\alpha|=m}1\Big)^{1/2}\geq\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,|\alpha|=m}\frac{m!}{\alpha!}=n^m\,,$$

and since

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n,|\alpha|=m}1\;=\;\binom{m+n-1}{m}\,,$$

we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}|Q_n(z)|^{2m}\;dz\geq n^m\binom{m+n-1}{m}^{-1}. \tag{13}$$

In order to be able to handle the binomial coefficient we need the followig estimate

$$\binom{m+n-1}{m}\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sqrt{\frac{m+n-1}{(n-1)\,m}}\,\frac{(m+n-1)^{m+n-1}}{(n-1)^{n-1}\,m^m}\,; \tag{14}$$

indeed, by Stirling's formula for every k

$$\sqrt{2\pi k}\,\left(\frac{k}{e}\right)^k e^{\frac{1}{12k+1}}<k!<\sqrt{2\pi k}\,\left(\frac{k}{e}\right)^k e^{\frac{1}{12k}}$$

which gives

$$\frac{(m+n-1)!}{(n-1)!\,m!}\leq\frac{\sqrt{2\pi(m+n-1)}\;\left(\frac{m+n-1}{e}\right)^{m+n-1}\;e^{\frac{1}{12(m+n-1)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(n-1)}\;\left(\frac{n-1}{e}\right)^{n-1}\;e^{\frac{1}{12(n-1)+1}}\;\sqrt{2\pi m}\;\left(\frac{m}{e}\right)^{m}\;e^{\frac{1}{12m+1}}}\,,$$

and consequently (14). We combine now (13) and (14) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{T}^n}|Q_n(z)|^{2m}\;dz&\geq\sqrt{2\pi}\,n^m\sqrt{\frac{m(n-1)}{m+n-1}}\,\frac{(n-1)^{n-1}m^m}{(m+n-1)^{m+n-1}}\\&=\sqrt{2\pi m}\frac{m^m}{\big(1+\frac{m}{n-1}\big)^{n-1}}\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{m+n-1}}\left(\frac{n}{m+n-1}\right)^m\\&\geq\sqrt{2\pi m}\left(\frac{m}{e}\right)^m\left(\frac{n-1}{m+n-1}\right)^{m+1/2}\,;\end{aligned}$$

for the last esimate we use that $(1+1/x)^x\leq e$ for $x\geq 1$. To bound the last factor, we use that $(1-1/x)^x>e^{-2}$ for $x>2$, so that

$$\left(\frac{n-1}{m+n-1}\right)^{m+1/2}\geq e^{-2\frac{m(m+1/2)}{m+n-1}}\geq e^{\frac{-4m^2}{n}}.$$

This completes the argument. □

To simplify the notation, from now on given two functions f,g depending on p,q and probably other variables, we will write $f\gg g$ when $f\geq c\,g$ for some constant $c=c(p,q)$ depending on p and q but independent of the rest of variables.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > [kq/2] + 1 > [kp/2] + 1 > 1$. Then*

$$\frac{\|Q_n^k\|_q}{\|Q_n^k\|_p} \gg k^{\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{1}{2p}} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{k/2} e^{\frac{-qk^2}{n}}.$$

Proof. Since $kp \geq 2$ by hypothesis, we can use (12) bound

$$\|Q_n^k\|_p \leq (\pi kp)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \left(\frac{kp}{2e}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{6kp^2}}. \tag{15}$$

On the other hand, we want to give a lower bound of $\|Q_n^k\|_q$. Let $m := [kq/2] \geq 1$. Since $kq \geq 2m$, we can write

$$\|Q_n^k\|_q^q = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}} |Q_n(\omega)|^{kq}\, d\omega \geq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}} |Q_n(\omega)|^{2m}\, d\omega\right)^{\frac{kq}{2m}}. \tag{16}$$

We can then use the lower bound of Lemma 3.1 in (16) to deduce that

$$\|Q_n^k\|_q \geq (\sqrt{2\pi m})^{k/2m} \left(\frac{m}{e}\right)^{k/2} e^{-\frac{2km}{n}}. \tag{17}$$

Combining (17) and (15) we arrive to

$$\frac{\|Q_n^k\|_q}{\|Q_n^k\|_p} \geq (\sqrt{2\pi})^{\frac{k}{2m}-\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \frac{m^{\frac{k}{4m}}}{\left(\frac{kp}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2p}}} \cdot \frac{m^{\frac{k}{2}}}{\left(\frac{kp}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \cdot e^{\frac{-1}{6kp^2}} e^{-\frac{2km}{n}}. \tag{18}$$

Using again $kq/2 \geq m \geq kq/2 - 1$, we get that

$$\frac{m^{\frac{k}{4m}}}{\left(\frac{kp}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2p}}} \gg k^{\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{1}{2p}} \cdot \frac{m^{\frac{k}{4m}}}{\left(\frac{kq}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2q}}} \geq k^{\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{1}{2p}} \cdot \frac{m^{\frac{1}{2q}}}{\left(\frac{kq}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2q}}} \gg k^{\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{1}{2p}} \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{m^{\frac{k}{2}}}{\left(\frac{kp}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \gg \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{m^{\frac{k}{2}}}{\left(\frac{kq}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \gg \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}. \tag{20}$$

Applying (19) and (20) to (18) we can conclude that

$$\frac{\|Q_n^k\|_q}{\|Q_n^k\|_p} \gg k^{\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{1}{2p}} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{-\frac{2km}{n}} \geq k^{\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{1}{2p}} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{\frac{-qk^2}{n}},$$

which is what we wanted. □

The trigonometric polynomial $Q_n^k = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha z^\alpha$ satisfies that $c_\alpha \neq 0$ if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| = k$. Let us fix a real number $x > e^{e^e}$ and consider the values

$$k(x) := \left[\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x + \log_3 x}\right] \text{ and } n(x) := \pi(x^{1/k(x)}).$$

The correspondent Dirichlet series via Bohr transform is then of the form

$$D_x(s) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} Q_{n(x)}^{k(x)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n(x)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}\mathfrak{p}_i^s}\right)^{k(x)} = \sum_{m \leq x} a_m m^{-s}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *For each* $1 \leq p < q < \infty$

$$\frac{\|D_x\|_q}{\|D_x\|_p} \geq \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}\left(\log\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} + O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right)\right).$$

Proof. Using the prime number theorem, and more especifically a bound due to Dusart [8, Theorem 1.10], we have that for $x^{1/k(x)} \geq 599$

$$\pi(x^{1/k(x)}) \geq \frac{k(x)x^{1/k(x)}}{\log x}\left(1 + \frac{k(x)}{\log x}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{k(x)}{n(x)} \leq \frac{\log x}{x^{1/k(x)}} = \exp\left(\log_2 x - \frac{\log x}{k(x)}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\log_3 x\right) = \frac{1}{\log_2 x}.$$

Note that $n(x)/k(x)$ tends to infinity when x does, so for x big enough the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. This means that we can bound

$$\frac{\|D_x\|_q}{\|D_x\|_p} \gg k(x)^{\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{1}{2p}}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{k(x)/2} e^{\frac{-k(x)^2 q}{n(x)}} = \exp\left(k(x)\left(\log\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} + f(x)\right)\right)$$

where

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2q} - \frac{1}{2p}\right)\frac{\log k(x)}{k(x)} - q\frac{k(x)}{n(x)} = O\left(\frac{1}{\log_2 x}\right).$$

Finally observe that

$$k(x) = \frac{\log x}{\log_2 x}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right)\right),$$

which completes the proof. □

4. Application to multipliers

Recall that a sequence of real numbers $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is said to be a *multiplier* from $\mathcal{H}_p$ to $\mathcal{H}_q$, if for every Dirichlet series $\sum_n a_n n^{-s}$ in $\mathcal{H}_p$ we have that $\sum_n \lambda_n a_n n^{-s}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_q$. In [1], Bayart makes use of Weissler result [14] to obtain sufficient conditions for a multiplicative sequence (λ_n) (i.e., $\lambda_{nm} = \lambda_n\lambda_m$ for all m, n) to be a multiplier from $\mathcal{H}_p$ to $\mathcal{H}_q$. Here we use Theorem 1.1 to give a sufficient condition for a not necessarily multiplicative sequence of positive real numbers to be a multplier.

Theorem 4.1. *Given* $1 \leq p < q < \infty$*, let* $(\lambda)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers satisfying*

$$\sum_n \frac{\lambda_n}{n\log\log n}\left(\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} + \varepsilon\right)^{\frac{\log n}{\log\log n}} < \infty \quad \textit{for some } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Then $(\lambda_n)_{n\ in\mathbb{N}}$ *is a multiplier from* $\mathcal{H}_p$ *to* $\mathcal{H}_q$*.*

Proof. Let us denote

$$g(x) := \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x} A\right) \text{ where } A := \log\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} + \varepsilon.$$

Recall that there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $x > 1$, the partial sum operator $S_x(\sum_n a_n n^{-s}) = \sum_{n\le x} a_n n^{-s}$ has norm $\|S_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_p\to\mathcal{H}_p} \le C\log x$. Given $D = \sum_n a_n n^{-s}$ in $\mathcal{H}_p$, we then have

$$\left\|\sum_{n\le x}\frac{a_n}{n^s}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \le \mathfrak{V}(q,p,x)\left\|\sum_{n\le x}\frac{a_n}{n^s}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} \le \mathfrak{V}(q,p,x)\, C\, \log x\|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}.$$

By Theorem 1.1, we deduce that when x is big enough

$$\left\|\sum_{n\le x}\frac{a_n}{n^s}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_q} \le g(x)\,\|D\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}. \tag{21}$$

Moreover, also if x tends to infinity we have that

$$0 \le g'(x) \le \frac{A\,g(x)}{x\log_2 x} \text{ and so } \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{g(x)}{x\log_2 x}\right) \le g(x)\,(A - \log_2 x) < 0.$$

This means that for n big enough,

$$g(n+1) - g(n) = \int_n^{n+1} g'(x)\,dx \le \frac{A\,g(n)}{n\,\log_2 n}. \tag{22}$$

Let $0 < m < M$ be natural numbers. Using Abel's summation formula

$$\sum_{n=m}^{M}\frac{\lambda_n a_n}{n^s} = \sum_{n=m}^{M-1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{a_k}{k^s}\right)(\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{a_k}{k^s}\right)\lambda_m + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{M}\frac{a_k}{k^s}\right)\lambda_M.$$

Therefore, taking m big enough and using (21) and (22)

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\sum_{n=m}^{M}\frac{\lambda_n a_n}{n^s}\right\|_q &\le \|D\|_p\left(\sum_{n=m}^{M-1} g(n)\,(\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}) + g(m-1)\lambda_m + g(M)\lambda_M\right)\\ &\le \|D\|_p\left(2\lambda_m g(m) + \sum_{n=m}^{M-1}\lambda_n(g(n+1) - g(n))\right)\\ &\le \|D\|_p\left(2\lambda_m g(m) + A\sum_{n=m}^{M-1}\frac{\lambda_n g(n)}{n\log_2 n}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The series $\sum_n \frac{\lambda_n g(n)}{n\log_2 n}$ converges by hypothesis. On the other hand, it also follows from this fact that there is an increasing sequence $(N_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers such that $\lim_k \lambda_{N_k} g(N_k) = 0$. Hence, the inequality above leads to the existence of a subsequence of the partial sums of $\sum_n \lambda_n a_n n^{-s}$ converging in $\mathcal{H}_q$, which in particular means that $\sum_n \lambda_n a_n n^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_q$. □

5. REMARKS

One of the main tools in the proof of Theorem 1.1 has been (2). This estimation is also valid when we deal with m-homogenous polynomials with coefficients in an arbitrary (complex) Banach space (see [4]). This means that the argument in the proof of Theorem 6 also works for Dirichlet polynomials with coefficients in some complex Banach space.

Although probably without leading to a better estimate in Theorem 1.1, we strongly believe that the inequality from (2) can be improved in the following way:

Conjecture 5.1. *For every $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that*

$$H_m^{q,p} \leq m^{\frac{1}{2q}-\frac{1}{2p}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}\right)^m.$$

Indeed, for the case in which $p < q$ are powers of two, we can use elementary methods to show that this conjecture is true. We sketch here the proof of the case $p = 2$ and $q = 4$:

Let $P = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha \omega^\alpha$ be an m-homogeneous polynomial. Given $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$ we write $\alpha \leq \gamma$ whenever $\alpha_n \leq \gamma_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We this notation

$$\|P\|_2^4 = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |c_\alpha|^2\right)^2 = \sum_{|\gamma|=m} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m, \alpha\leq\gamma} |c_\alpha|^2 |c_{\gamma-\alpha}|^2\right).$$

$$\|P\|_4^4 = \sum_{|\gamma|=2m} \left|\sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha c_{\gamma-\alpha}\right|^2 \leq \sum_{|\gamma|=2m} \left(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=m \\ \alpha\leq\gamma}} |c_\alpha|^2 |c_{\gamma-\alpha}|^2\right) \kappa(\gamma, m).$$

where $\kappa(\gamma, m) = |\{\alpha \colon |\alpha| = m, \alpha \leq \gamma\}|$. Among all $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$ with $|\gamma| = 2m$, we have that the maximum value of $\kappa(\gamma, m)$ is attained whenever the entries of γ are all either one or zero. In this case, we can calculate explicitely $\kappa(\gamma, m)$ in terms of a combinatorial number that can be estimated by means of Lemma 14 as

$$\kappa(\gamma, m) \leq \binom{2m}{m} \leq \frac{4^m}{\sqrt{\pi m}}.$$

We then conclude that

$$\|P\|_4^4 \leq \binom{2m}{m} \leq \frac{4^m}{\sqrt{\pi m}} \|P\|_2^4$$

which gives the desired result.

REFERENCES

[1] BAYART, F., *Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and their Composition Operators*. Monatshefte für Mathematik, 136(3), 203-236 (2002).

[2] BESICOVITCH, A. S. *On generalized almost periodic functions.* Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 2(1), 495-512 (1926).

[3] BOHR, H., *Über die Bedeutung der Potenzreihen unendlich vieler Variabeln in der Theorie der Dirichletschen Reihen* $\sum \frac{a_n}{n^s}$. Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Gött. Math. Phys. Kl. 4, 441–488 (1913).

[4] CARANDO, D., DEFANT, A. AND SEVILLA-PERIS, P. *Some polynomial versions of cotype and applications* http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.00850 (2015).

[5] COLE, B. J., AND GAMELIN, T. W. *Representing measures and Hardy spaces for the infinite polydisk algebra*. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3(1), 112-142 (1986).

[6] BOHR, H., *Über die gleichmäßige Konvergenz Dirichletscher Reihen*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 143, 203–211 (1913).

[7] DEFANT, A. AND MASTYLO, M. *L^p-norms and Mahler's measure of polynomials on the n-dimensional torus.* Constr. Approx. DOI 10.1007/s00365-015-9319-x (2015).

[8] DURSAT, P. *Autour de la fonction qui compte le nombre de nombres premiers.* Thèse, Université de Limoges (1998).

[9] HEDENMALM, H., LINDQVIST, P., AND SEIP, K. *A Hilbert space of Dirichlet series and systems of dilated functions in* $L^2(0,1)$. Duke Math. J. 86 , no. 1, 1–37 (1997).

[10] HELSON, H. *Hankel forms and sums of random variables.* Studia Math., 176(1):85–92, 2006.

[11] KONYAGIN, S. V. AND QUEFFÉLEC, H. *The Translation* $1/2$ *in the Theory of Dirichlet Series.* Real Analysis Exchange, 27(1), 155-176 (2001).

[12] KÖNIG, H. AND KWAPIEŃ, S. *Best Khintchine type inequalities for sums of independent, rotationally invariant random vectors*. Positivity, 5(2), 115-152 (2001).

[13] TENENBAUM, G., *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*. Vol. 46 , Cambridge university press (1995).

[14] WEISSLER, F. B., *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and hypercontractive estimates on the circle.* Journal of Functional Analysis, 37(2), 218-234 (1980).

[15] WIGERT, S. *Sur l'ordre de grandeur du nombre des diviseurs d'un entier*. Arkiv. für Math. 3 (1907), 1–9.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK. UNIVERSITÄT OLDENBURG. D-26111 OLDENBURG (GERMANY).

E-mail address: defant@mathematik.uni-oldenburg.de

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, ESPINARDO. 30100 MURCIA (SPAIN).

E-mail address: antonio.perez7@um.es